Exhibit 13.1
Docket 3720-WR-107
Witness: Michael Gorman
April 23, 2010
Schedule 1
Page 1 of 2

Milwaukee Water Works Docket 3720-WR-107

Distribution vs. Transmission Mains

<u>Line</u>	<u>Description</u>	End of Year <u>Total Feet</u> (1)	Percent Of Total (2)	
	Total Utility	_		
	Distribution Mains			
1	Small (6 Inches and Below)	2,828,952	33.1%	
2	Large (8 Inches to 16 Inches)	5,717,452	66.9%	
3	Total Distribution Mains	8,546,404	100.0%	
4	Total Distribution Mains	8,546,404	82.3%	
5	Total Transmission Mains	1,837,296	17.7%	
6	Total Mains	10,383,700	100.0%	

Source:

Milwaukee Water Works, Annual Report for Year End December 31, 2008, Page W-19.

Milwaukee Water Works Docket 3720-WR-107

Distribution vs. Transmission Mains

<u>Line</u>	<u>Description</u>	Number of <u>Entries</u> (1)	End of Year <u>Total Feet</u> (2)	Percent Of Total (3)	
	Within Municipality				
	Distribution Mains				
1	6 Inches and Below	5	2,731,101	29.9%	
2	8 Inches to 12 Inches	<u>4</u>	4,814,101	<u>52.7%</u>	
3	Total Distribution Mains	9	7,545,202	82.6%	
	Transmission Mains				
4	16 Inches and Above	17	1,587,890	17.4%	
5	Total Mains	26	9,133,092	100.0%	
	Outside Municipality				
	Distribution Mains				
6	6 Inches and Below	3	97,851	7.8%	
7	8 Inches to 12 Inches	<u>2</u>	903,351	<u>72.2%</u>	
8	Total Distribution Mains	5	1,001,202	80.1%	
	Transmission Mains				
9	16 Inches and Above	11	249,406	19.9%	
10	Total Mains	16	1,250,608	100.0%	
	Total Utility				
	Distribution Mains				
11	6 Inches and Below	8	2,828,952	27.2%	
12	8 Inches to 12 Inches	<u>6</u>	5,717,452	<u>55.1%</u>	
13	Total Distribution Mains	14	8,546,404	82.3%	
	Transmission Mains				
14	16 Inches and Above	28	1,837,296	17.7%	
15	Total Mains	42	10,383,700	100.0%	

Source:

Milwaukee Water Works, Annual Report for Year End December 31, 2008, Page W-19.

Exhibit 13.1 Docket 3720-WR-107 Witness: Michael Gorman April 23, 2010 Schedule 2

Milwaukee Water Works Docket 3720-WR-107

Small Pipe Allocation Position

Illinois

- ➤ Illinois American Water Company, Illinois Commerce Commission, Docket 09-0319, IAWC Witness Paul Herbert, IAWC Ex. 9.0 at 9-10.
- ➢ Illinois Commerce Commission Staff Witness Peter Lazare, identical weaknesses in Company's cost study but did not take issue with Company's small mains allocation (ICC Staff Ex. 6.0)

<u>Indiana</u>

➤ Department of Water Works of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Case No. 43645, Direct Testimony of Department's Witness Kerry Heid at 6.0, October 16, 2009

<u>Missouri</u>

- Missouri American Water Company before the Missouri Public Service Commission, Case No. WR-2010-0131, Company Witness Paul Herbert at 9, October 30, 2009
- > Staff Witness James Russo, Staff's Cost of Service and Rate Design Report at 6.

Schedule 3

Milwaukee Water Works Docket 3720-WR-107

Customer Class Demand Factor Comparison

		Previous Case		1	Current Case			
		Docket 3720-WR-106 ¹				20-WR-107 ²		
		Maximum Day	Maximum Hour		Maximum Day	Maximum Hour		
		Demand	Demand		Demand	Demand		
		Extra Capacity	Extra Capacity		Extra Capacity	Extra Capacity		
Line	Customer Class	Ratio	Ratio		Ratio	Ratio		
		(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)		
	Milwaukee:							
1	Residential	1.50	4.64		4.50	0.70		
2	Commercial	1.20	4.54 4.50		1.50 1.20	2.78		
3	Industrial	0.60	4.50 1.11		0.60	2.17 1.05		
4	Public Authority	1.05	3.93		1.05	1.90		
•	T dono / tatriority	1.00	3.93		1.05	1.90		
	West Milwaukee:							
5	Residential	1.85	5.08		1.50	2.78		
6	Commercial	1.35	3.93		1.20	2.17		
7	Industrial	1.00	2.59		0.60	1.05		
8	Public Authority	1.25	3.93		1.05	1.90		
	Suburban Retail:							
9	Residential	1.85	5.08		1.50	2.78		
10	Commercial	1.35	3.93		1.20	2.17		
11	Industrial	1.00	2.59		0.60	1.05		
12	Public Authority	1.25	3.93		1.05	1.90		
	Wholesale:							
13	West Allis	0.78	1.03		0.46	1.09		
14	Wauwatosa	1.00	1.55		0.59	1.28		
15	Brown Deer	1.15	2.00		0.89	1.71		
16	Greendale	1.85	2.00		1.80	3.01		
17	Shorewood	1.15	2.20		1.15	2.08		
18	County Institutions	1.00	1.50		1.15	2.08		
19	Menomonee Falls	1.00	1.50		0.64	1.35		
20	Mequon	N/A	N/A		1.13	2.05		
21	Butler	1.25	1.75		0.63	1.33		
22	New Berlin	1.25	1.75		0.69	1.42		

Sources:

¹ Vishwa Kashyap Cost of Service Study, Schedule 6.

² Andrew Behm and David Prochaska Cost of Service Study, Schedule 9.

Exhibit 13.1 Docket 3720-WR-107 Witness: Michael Gorman April 23, 2010 Schedule 4

Milwaukee Water Works Docket 3720-WR-107

Revenue Increase Summary

<u>Line</u>	<u>Description</u>	Revenue At Present <u>Rates</u> (1)	Å	Revenue At Proposed <u>Rates</u> (2)		Dollar Increase (3)	Percent Increase (4)
1	Total Residential	\$ 27,238,697	\$	34,818,254	\$	7,579,557	27.8%
2	Total Commercial	15,439,556		19,506,577	•	4,067,021	26.3%
3	Total Industrial	5,203,192		7,184,892		1,981,700	38.1%
4	Total Public Authority	 3,145,631		4,097,843		952,212	30.3%
5	Retail Total	\$ 51,027,076	\$	65,607,566	\$	14,580,490	28.6%
6	Wholesale Total	\$ 9,174,402	\$	12,029,144	\$	2.854.742	31.1%
7	Fire Protection Total	\$ 5,877,239	\$	7,270,374	\$	1,393,135	23.7%
8	Utility Total	\$ 66,078,717	\$	84,907,084	\$	18,828,367	28.5%

Source:

Milwaukee Water Works, Schedule 12, page 1 of 2.